



OFFICE *of the* ATTORNEY GENERAL  
GREG ABBOTT

January 10, 2003

Ms. Connie C. Lock  
Fulbright & Jaworski, L.L.P.  
300 Convent Street, Suite 2200  
San Antonio, Texas 78205-3792

OR2003-0211

Dear Ms. Lock:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 177638.

The City of Schertz Municipal Court (the "Municipal Court"), which you represent, received a request for the "[n]ames, addresses, and completion dates of all the people who have requested and been approved for defensive driving." You assert that the Municipal Court is not subject to the Public Information Act (the "Act") under section 552.003 of the Government Code. We have reviewed the representative sample of information you submitted and considered the arguments you claim.<sup>1</sup>

The Act applies to information that is "collected, assembled, or maintained under a law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by a governmental body." Gov't Code § 552.002(a)(1). However, a "governmental body" under the Act "does not include the judiciary." Gov't Code § 552.003(1)(B). Information "collected, assembled or maintained by . . . the judiciary" is "governed by rules adopted by the Supreme Court of Texas or by other applicable laws and rules," not the Act. Gov't Code § 552.0035(a); *see also* Tex. Sup. Ct. R. 12. You inform us the submitted information is maintained solely by

---

<sup>1</sup>We assume that the "representative sample" of records submitted to this office is truly representative of the requested records as a whole. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 499 (1988), 497 (1988). This open records letter does not reach, and therefore, does not authorize the withholding of, any other requested records to the extent that those records contain substantially different types of information than that submitted to this office.

the Municipal Court. Therefore, we conclude Exhibit B is not subject to the Act. Attorney General Opinion DM-166 (1992). Thus, the Municipal Court has no obligation under the Act to provide the submitted information to the requestor.

However, we note that other sources of law may make such records public. Attorney General Opinions DM-166 at 2-3 (1992)(public has general right to inspect and copy judicial records), H-826 (1976); Open Records Decision No. 25 (1974); *see Star-Telegram, Inc. v. Walker*, 834 S.W.2d 54, 57 (Tex. 1992) (documents filed with courts are generally considered public and must be released). Additionally, statutory law governing municipal courts may subject these records to disclosure. *See* Gov't Code § 29.007(d)(4) (complaints filed with municipal court clerk); *id.* § 29.007(f) (municipal court clerks shall perform duties prescribed by law for county court clerk); Local Gov't Code § 191.006 (records belonging to office of county clerk shall be open to public unless access restricted by law or court order).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).


If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Christen Sorrell  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

CHS/seg

Ref: ID# 177638

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Ruben Benavides  
Funnybone Defensive Driving School  
9720 Data Point, Suite 100  
San Antonio, Texas 78229  
(w/o enclosures)